BEFORE THE STATE OF ARIZONA BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS

1.5

In the Matter of:) Case No.: 2016-047
Mack Family Chiropractic	CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
Holder of a Chiropractic Business Entity Registration No. 2015015-BE	OF LAW AND ORDER FOR VOLUNTARY SURRENDER
In the State of Arizona)

CONSENT AGREEMENT

RECITALS

In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona Board of Chiropractic Examiners ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Board and pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07 (F)(5) and A.R.S. § 32-924 *et seq.*, Mack Family Chiropractic, with owner Clifton G. Mack, ("Respondent"), holder of Chiropractic Business Entity Registration No. 2015015-BE in the State of Arizona, and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order (Consent Agreement) as the final disposition of this matter.

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement as set forth herein, and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney or has waived the opportunity. Respondent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the expense and uncertainty of an administrative hearing.
- 2. Respondent understands that the entity has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning each and every allegation set forth in the above-captioned matter, at which administrative hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent freely and voluntarily relinquishes all rights to such an administrative hearing, as well as all rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial

 review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein.

Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.

- 3. Respondent agrees that the Board may adopt this Consent Agreement or any part of this agreement, under A.R.S. § 32-924. Respondent understands that the Board may consider this Consent Agreement or any part of it in any future disciplinary action against him.
- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, *if any*, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding. Respondent also understands that acceptance of the Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision or officer of this state from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 5. All admissions Respondent makes in this Consent Agreement are made solely for the final disposition of this matter, and any related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. This Consent Agreement is not to be used for any other regulatory agency proceedings, or civil or criminal proceedings, whether in the State of Arizona or any other state or federal court, except related to the enforcement of the Consent Agreement itself.
- 6. By agreeing to allow the Board to impose the discipline ordered herein, Respondent acknowledges that the Board has evidence from which it could impose discipline under A.R.S. § 32-924 (G).
- 7. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board, Respondent may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.

- 8. Respondent understands that the foregoing Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until the Board adopts it and the Chairperson signs it.
- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that if the Board does not adopt this Consent Agreement, he will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of it constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defense in any future disciplinary action.
- 10. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement is a public record of a disciplinary action that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 11. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-924 (A) (16), "Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any of the provisions of this chapter or any Board order," and may result in disciplinary action pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-914.

7-10-2017

Mack Family Chiropractic Clifton G. Mack, Owner Respondent

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of chiropractic in the State of Arizona.
- 2. The Board issued Orders, incorporated by reference herein, for case numbers 2013-016; 2009-074; and 2012-050 on or about May 18, 2007 and November 15, 2010, respectively.

RECEIVED

JUL 13 2017

- 3. On or about March 26, 2014, Chiropractic License No. 6098, issued to Respondent to practice chiropractic in the State of Arizona was revoked by the State of Arizona Board of Chiropractic Examiners order # 2013-016; 2009-074; and 2012-050.
- 4. On or about December 2, 2014, the Board received a business entity application from Mack Family Chiropractic, listing Clifton G. Mack as the Owner/Officer/Director (Respondent). The application listed two Arizona licensed chiropractors, CM, D.C. and RD, D.C., as those authorized to provide chiropractic services for the business entity. At the December 19, 2014 Board meeting, the Board approved Respondent's application. The Board advised Respondent in writing that in accordance with A.R.S. § 32-934 (D), Respondent was required to notify the Board of any changes, including doctors of chiropractic, authorized to provide services, within 30 days of the change.
- 5. On or about June 1, 2015, Respondent submitted a verified renewal business entity application, indicating there were no changes to the chiropractic physicians registered for the business entity.
- 6. On or about April 29, 2016, Respondent submitted a verified renewal business entity application, indicating the addition of another chiropractic physician, MM, D.C., registered for the business entity. Respondent retained CM, D.C. and RD, D.C., as chiropractic physicians registered with Mack Family Chiropractic.
- 7. On March 16, 2016, Clifton G. Mack submitted an application for licensure. On June 15, 2016, the Board denied Clifton Mack's application for chiropractic licensure citing multiple instances of unprofessional conduct including A.R.S.§ 32-921(C)(2), A.R.S. § 32-921(C)(3), A.R.S. § 32-921(C)(5), A.R.S. § 32-924(A)(5), A.R.S. § 32-924(A)(16), A.A.C. R4-7-902(5), A.A.C. R4-7-902(13)(C) and A.A.C. R4-7-902(13)(E). The Board based its denial on multiple factors including his disciplinary history with the Board and a complaint received from Banner Health which, following an investigation revealed multiple instances of improper billing.

in the March 26, 2014, revocation of his chiropractic license, in Case No. 2013-016. Additionally, Respondent improperly billed Banner Health for services provided by a chiropractor, CM, D.C., after the chiropractor had left the practice, and improperly submitted claims electronically signed by: "Clifton Guy Mack, D.C." after the revocation of his chiropractic license.

8. On or about May 10, 2016, Banner Health filed a complaint with the Board against

Applicant had been previously licensed with the Board and had a disciplinary history resulting

- 8. On or about May 10, 2016, Banner Health filed a complaint with the Board against Respondent alleging that over multiple years Respondent engaged in improper billing by billing for another chiropractic provider after the chiropractor no longer was providing services, and billing as a chiropractic provider on a revoked license.
- 9. Banner Health conducted an in-depth audit of Respondent's patient files, which revealed significant documentation and billing deficiencies. The Banner and Board investigations included a review of chiropractic records and billing records for Patient MB, Patient NC, Patient SD, Patient ML, Patient AT, and Patient TL. The investigation revealed documentation and billing irregularities that included: billing for CM, D.C. after he had left the practice; discrepancies in the provider name in the patient notes that did not match the submitted claim; electronic patient notes containing the electronic signature, "Clifton Guy Mack, D.C." after his chiropractic license had been revoked; patient electronic medical record lacking a provider signature; hand written SOAP notes lacking a signature or the signature/initials were illegible; billing claim records lacking a corresponding treatment record; and subsequent claims submitted by Respondent that failed to correct original billing errors.
- 10. Included in the Banner Health complaint was an August 1, 2015, letter from CM, D.C. advising Banner that he had been employed by Respondent, but "no longer work[ed] for Mack Chiro." CM, D.C. stated that Respondent billed for patients in his name after he had ceased employment. The Banner and the Board investigations revealed that Respondent continued to

5

8

11

16 17

18 19

21

22

20

23

24 25

26

27 28

bill for CM, D.C. as a chiropractor provider after he had left Respondent's chiropractic practice as of July 1, 2015. Respondent admitted that bills were submitted to Banner for CM, D.C., after he had left the practice. After CM, D.C. left the practice Respondent failed to notify the Board as required by A.R.S. § 32-934(D) (3). Respondent listed CM, D.C. on his business entity renewal application received by the Board on April 29, 2016, after the chiropractic physician ceased employment and association with Respondent. Additionally, the Board's investigation revealed that Respondent failed to provide notification pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-934 (D) (3), that Dr. F, D.C. provided chiropractic services at Mack Family Chiropractic.

- 11. The Board's investigation noted that from the date Respondent's license was revoked through October 16, 2015; Respondent submitted approximately 1,645 individual claims, totally approximately \$206,935 in charges, under the provider name of Clifton Mack, D.C. and his chiropractic NPI number. The name included on the electronic signature on the patient record sent by Banner indicates, "Electronically Signed By: Clifton Guy Mack, D.C." The Board's investigation also concluded that the owner, Clifton Mack, acting on behalf of Respondent, altered patient records as evidenced by the significantly different handwritten patient records compared to the electronically created patient records bearing the electronic signature of Clifton Guy Mack, D.C., after the revocation of his chiropractic license.
- 12. On August 30, 2016, a chiropractor expert consultant ("consultant") provided an independent evaluation of the investigative patient treatment and billing records from the office of Mack Family Chiropractic, Respondent, based on the May 10, 2016, complaint from Banner Health alleging billing and documentation irregularities. The consultant identified multiple irregularities in Respondent's billing and documentation, and determined that Respondent billed for services under CM, D.C.'s name after he ceased employment with Respondent.
- 13. The consultant identified that Owner Clifton Mack, on behalf of Respondent, created and signed his name using the initials D.C., on numerous typed office notes for patient

encounters after the date his license was revoked. The consultant noted that the typed office notes were significantly different than the handwritten office notes on each date of service. The differences included clinically significant information including subjective complaints, objective findings, assessment/diagnoses, and treatment plans. Additionally, in at least one case Clifton Mack interviewed a patient regarding her current history and clinical status. Adding clinically significant information to an office note is under the purview of a licensed doctor of chiropractic. Owner, Clifton Mack admitted that he created the typed SOAP notes from the handwritten notes using a computer program designed for that purpose and in an attempt to produce them in a better form.

14. The consultant and Board investigation also noted that Respondent submitted CMS 1500 claim forms using Clifton Mack's revoked chiropractic provider number. The patient and billing records do not clearly designate the treating chiropractic physician and multiple CMS 1500 claim forms for identical dates of service were submitted with different chiropractic providers.

15. The consultant and Board investigation also concluded that Respondent submitted improper billing for time-based procedures, specifically soft tissue manipulation, CPT# 97140-59-52. The billing standard is that it is inappropriate to bill separately for manual therapy techniques applied to the same spinal regions as CMT. Respondent's billing is consistent with "unbundling," which refers to the practice of submitting for reimbursement separate CPT codes for a variety of tasks rather than one CPT code which recognizes all of the tasks as one.

16. The consultant and Board investigation also determined that Respondent's patient and billing records contain deficiencies, including failure to designate the treating physician, discrepancies regarding treating physicians and dates of service. The consultant noted that, "Based on the uncertainty of physician signatures and multiple CMS 1500 claim forms for

 identical dates of service containing ID#s and names of different physicians then the adequacy of the billing records of any of the treating physicians cannot be established."

17. On or about June 23, 2017, Respondent through his attorney stated that he was attempting to sells the practice and wished to resolve the complaint at this time.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The conduct and circumstances described above in paragraph 3 in the Findings of Fact could constitute grounds for denial of licensure pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-921 (C) (2) specifically, "The Board may refuse to give an examination or may deny licensure to a Respondent who: 2. Has had a license to practice chiropractic refused, revoked, suspended or restricted by a regulatory Board in this or any other jurisdiction for any act that constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to this chapter."
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described above in paragraphs 3-16 in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-924(A) (5), "Unprofessional or dishonorable conduct of a character likely to deceive or defraud the public or tending to discredit the profession." Respondent's actions, described above, provides the Board with sufficient factual basis to suspend or revoke Respondent's chiropractic license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-924(G).
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described above in paragraphs 3-16 in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-924(A) (16), "Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any of the provisions of this chapter or any board order." Respondent's actions, described above, provides the Board with sufficient factual basis to suspend or revoke Respondent's chiropractic license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-924(G).
- 4. The conduct and circumstances described above in paragraphs 3-16 in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-924(A) (15), "Any conduct or practice contrary to recognized standards in chiropractic or any conduct or practice that constitutes a danger to the

health, welfare or safety of the patient or the public or any conduct, practice or condition that impairs the ability of the licensee to safely and skillfully practice chiropractic." Respondent's actions, described above, provides the Board with sufficient factual basis to suspend or revoke Respondent's chiropractic license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-924(G).

ORDER

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Business Entity Registration No. 2015015-BE, issued to Respondent to own a chiropractic practice in the State of Arizona, shall be deemed VOLUNTARILY SURRENDERED within 60 days of the adoption of this Consent Agreement by the Board, and Respondent shall return the ornamental registration certificate to the Board within 120 days. A.R.S. § 32-924(K.
- 2. Respondent shall not apply for licensure or registration in the State of Arizona for one (1) year.

1	DATED AND EFFECTIVE this <u>19th</u> of <u>July</u> , 2017
2 3	STATE OF ARIZONA BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS
4	[SEAL]
5	Boff, De
6	James Badge, D.C., Chair
7	State of Arizona Board of Chiropractic Examiners
8	
9	Original of the foregoing filed this
LO	19th of July , 2017 with: State of Arizona Board of Chiropractic Examiners
L1	1951 West Camelback Road, Suite 330 Phoenix, AZ 85015
L2	
13	Executed Copy of the foregoing mailed by U.S. Certified mail (Return receipt requested)
14	This <u>19th</u> of <u>July</u> , 2017 Certificate No.
15	
16	Clifton G. Mack, Owner 6028 E. Presidio St.
L7	Mesa, AZ 85215
L8	Respondent
19	Keith R. Lalliss PO Box 697
20	Mesa, Arizona 85211-0697
21	Attorney for the Respondent
22	Sent electronically to: Mona Baskin
23	Assistant Attorney General
24	1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES Phoenix, AZ 85007
25	Attorney for the State
26	
27	Justin Bohall
28	Board Operations 6043590